

## Decision Notice

### Committee: Reigate and Banstead Local Committee

### Date of meeting: Monday, 12 September 2016

*Note: Decisions in **bold** type indicate a decision differing from the recommendation in the report.*

The following decisions were approved by the Reigate and Banstead Local Committee on Monday, 12 September 2016 and will take effect on 27 September 2016 unless the call-in procedure has been triggered. The call in procedure applies to executive functions of the local committee only.  
**CALL- IN DEADLINE: 26 September 2016.**

The following represents a summary of the decisions taken by the Committee. It is not intended to represent the formal record of the meeting but to facilitate the call-in process.

**To request a call-in** on any of these matters, please contact **Susan Briant / Sarah Quinn, Community Partnership and Committee Officers** on **01737 737695**.

<b>8</b>	GREATER REDHILL SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT PACKAGE (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION)	The Committee agreed to:  (i) note the phase 1 scheme delivery completed so far  (ii) note the programme of works in development for scheme delivery during 2016/17 and 2017/18  (iii) delegate authority to the Area Highways Manager in consultation with the Chairman, Vice Chairman, the Greater Redhill STP Task Group and Transport Strategy Project Manager to identify and prioritise the schemes to take forward to delivery, including seeking permissions for the advertisement of legal notices and traffic orders as required.  REASONS: To ensure that the Local Committee is kept fully informed of scheme progress, Members are
----------	---	--

### Decision Notice

		<p>asked to note the scheme delivery completed to date. The phase 1 delivery programme for 2015/16 was approved at the September 2015 Local Committee.</p> <p>The C2C LEP funding allocated to this project is subject to a legal agreement between Surrey County Council as the delivery body, and West Sussex County Council as the Accountable Body for the C2C LEP. Project funding has been spent in accordance with this agreement.</p> <p>Progress of the design work to develop the programme of improvements for scheme delivery during 2016/17 and 2017/18 was approved at the September 2015 Local Committee. The Officer Project Board has taken this forward. The programme of works for the remainder of the project is in the final stages of development and was discussed in detail at the Member Task Group on 29 June. An update is provided for the full committee within this report. An additional Member Task Group is scheduled for 8 September to review the current scheme proposals.</p> <p>Due to tight timescales for delivery of the remaining programme it was agreed at the Member Task Group that approval of this programme, including seeking permissions for the advertisement of legal notices and traffic orders as required, should be delegated to the Area Highways Manager in consultation with the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Local Committee, and the Transport Strategy Project Manager. The Chairman and Vice Chairman are both members of the Member Task Group, and therefore it is proposed to seek approvals to proceed through the Task Group at a dedicated meeting planned for the start of October when the final proposed programme will be available. Subject to this approval, a further report will be brought to the December Local Committee to provide an update on the programme being taken forward to delivery.</p> <p>Regular project update reports have been provided to the Local Committee within the Local Area Highways reporting.</p>
<p><b>12</b></p>	<p>LOCAL COMMITTEE FUNDING OF COMMUNITY SAFETY PROJECTS (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION)</p>	<p>The Committee agreed that:</p> <p>(i) the delegated Community Safety budget of £3,000 per Local Committee for 2016/17 is to be retained by the Community Partnership Team, on behalf of the Local Committee, and that the Community Safety Partnership is invited to submit proposals that meet the criteria and principles for</p>

**Decision Notice**

		<p>funding, as defined at paragraph 2.6 of the report, <b>with 2.6(b) amended to restrict expenditure to projects within Reigate and Banstead;</b></p> <p>(ii) authority is delegated to the Community Partnership Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee, to authorise the expenditure of the Community Safety budget in accordance with the criteria and principles stated at paragraph 2.6 of this report;</p> <p>(iii) the Committee receives a report detailing the projects that were successful in being awarded the local community safety funding and the outcomes and impact they have achieved.</p> <p>REASONS: A recent analysis of how the local committees' community safety funds were spent in 2015-16 revealed a mixed picture. While there were some notable examples of good practice, much of the funding was spent on activities that could have otherwise been delivered either through existing partnership work or by closer synergy with Surrey's established, strategic community safety projects. This report makes recommendations that are intended to secure greater oversight of the committee's expenditure and better value for money for projects that help to achieve the County's community safety priorities.</p>
--	--	---

<p><b>13</b></p>	<p>ANNUAL PARKING REVIEW (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION)</p>	<p>The Committee agreed:</p> <p>(i) the proposals in Annexes 1 and 2 <b>(as amended to include drawings 68 and 69, and to include the removal of a parking bay from in front of a vehicle crossover outside 111A The Crescent, Horley, and its replacement with a single yellow line, and to include Avenue Gardens, Horley in the Balcombe Gardens Scheme);</b></p> <p>(ii) that if necessary, adjustments can be made to the proposals agreed at the meeting</p>
------------------	--	--

### Decision Notice

		<p>by the Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager in consultation with the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Divisional Member prior to statutory consultation;</p> <p>(iii) the intention of the County Council to make Traffic Regulation Orders under the relevant parts of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to impose the waiting and on street parking restrictions in Reigate and Banstead as shown in the Annexes (and as subsequently modified by ii) is advertised and that if no objections are maintained, the order is made;</p> <p>(iv) that if there are unresolved objections, they will be dealt with in accordance with the County Council's scheme of delegation by the Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager, in consultation with the Chairman, Vice Chairman of this committee and the Divisional Member;</p> <p>(v) that if necessary the Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager will report the objections back to the Local Committee for resolution;</p> <p>(vi) to the new process for implementation of new school keep clear markings (SKCs), and to agree to revoke the traffic regulation orders for existing SKCs across Reigate and Banstead, in light of changes in government legislation</p> <p>REASONS: Changes to the highway network, the built environment and society mean that parking behaviour changes and consequently it is necessary for a Highway Authority to carry out regular reviews of waiting and parking restrictions on the highway network.</p> <p>It is recommended that the waiting restrictions in this report <b>(as amended)</b> are progressed as they will help to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• improve road safety</li><li>• increase access for emergency vehicles</li><li>• help residents park nearer their homes</li></ul>
--	--	--

**Decision Notice**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• improve access to shops, facilities and businesses</li><li>• increase access for refuse vehicles, buses and service vehicles</li><li>• ease traffic congestion</li><li>• better regulate parking</li></ul> <p>Changes in government legislation mean that a traffic regulation order (TRO) is no longer required to make school keep clear markings enforceable. We are therefore suggesting that the existing traffic orders for these markings be revoked, and that a new process to deal with the implementation of any new markings be set up.</p>
--	--	--